Effective field theory of the disordered Weyl semimetal
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In disordered Weyl semimetals, mechanisms of topological origin lead to the protection against Anderson localization, and at the same time to different types of transverse electromagnetic response – the anomalous Hall, and chiral magnetic effect. We here apply field theory methods to discuss the manifestation of these phenomena at length scales which are beyond the scope of diagrammatic perturbation theory. Specifically we show how an interplay of symmetry breaking and the chiral anomaly leads to a field theory containing two types of topological terms. Generating the unconventional response coefficients of the system, these terms remain largely unaffected by disorder, i.e. information on the chirality of the system remains visible even at large length scales.

PACS numbers: 75.47.-m, 03.65.Vf, 73.43.-f

Weyl semimetals are paradigmatic examples of gapless topological condensed matter systems. A Weyl semimetal comprises an even number of linearly dispersive band touching points embedded in a three-dimensional Brillouin zone. The presence of these hotspots implies a response to perturbations that is intermediate between that of metals and insulators (see Ref. [1] for review). This ‘semi-metallicness’ also signifies in the physics of the disordered system [2–5]: on the one hand, for review). This ‘semi-metallicness’ also signifies in the physics of the disordered system [2–5]: on the one hand, the so-called chiral magnetic effect (CME) [7, 8] in the disordered environment. Second, it has been shown that a system comprising two Weyl nodes separated in momentum space shows an anomalous Hall effect (AHE) [9]. Within the field theoretic framework below, this effect will derive from a 3d extension of a two-dimensional topological θ-term, familiar from the theory of the quantum Hall effect.

Field theory — In the following, we will derive an effective field theory describing these structures. Our starting point is the bi-nodal Hamiltonian (cf. Fig. 1)

\[ \hat{H} = v\hat{k} \sigma^a_{\hat{n}} + (v\hat{b} + \mu) + V(x), \]  

where \( \hat{b} \equiv k \cdot \sigma, \sigma \) is a vector of Pauli matrices, \( \hat{k} \) the vector momentum operator, and \( v \) a characteristic velocity. The Pauli matrix \( \sigma^a_{\hat{n}} \) acts in a two-component space discriminating between two nodes split by a vector \( 2\hat{b} \equiv 2b\hat{e}_3 \) in momentum space and an increment \( 2\mu \) in energy. The model is coupled to disorder by a Gaussian distributed potential \( V(x) \) with variance \( \gamma_0 \). We discriminate between disorder correlated over length scales \( \gtrsim b^{-1} \), which is soft in the sense that the two Weyl nodes are not coupled by impurity scattering, and the opposite case of short range correlated disorder mixing the nodes. Implicit to the model is a high momentum cutoff \( |k| < \Lambda \) limiting the range of linearizability of an underlying lattice model.

To access the transport properties of the system at energies \( \epsilon \), we introduce a supersymmetric generating functional \( Z[a] = \int D(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \exp(-S[\bar{\psi}, \psi]), \) with action \( S[\bar{\psi}, \psi, a] = -\int d^2x \bar{\psi}(\epsilon + i\delta\tau_3 - \hat{H})\psi. \) Here \( \psi = \{\psi_{s,i,n}(x)\} \) is a sixteen-component field of integration variables where, \( n = 1, 2 \) labels the two nodes, \( i = 1, 2 \) the components of a Weyl spinor, \( s = \pm \) distinguishes between advanced and retarded (ar) Green functions, i.e. \( (\tau_3)_{ss'} = s\delta_{ss'} \) such that integration over \( \psi_{s,\pm} \) generates matrix elements of \( \hat{G}^\pm = (\epsilon \pm i\delta - \hat{H})^{-1} \), and \( \alpha = 1, 2 \) defines a two-dimensional space (bf-space) of complex commuting/Grassmann variables \( \psi^1/2 \). In the commuting sector, \( \psi^1 = \psi^{11}, \) while the commuting variables \( \psi^2, \psi^3 \) are independent Grassmann variables. From
Effective field theory — Our goal is to expand the action $S[\dot{\varphi};\kappa]$ of the theory in $\epsilon$-perturbation theory, with $\epsilon \ll 1$ corresponding to the ultraviolet regime. The leading order contribution is the sum of all terms of quadratic order in $\dot{\varphi}$ and linear order in $\kappa$. The action can be written as

$$S[\dot{\varphi};\kappa] = S_0[\dot{\varphi}] + \kappa S_1[\dot{\varphi}] + \kappa^2 S_2[\dot{\varphi}],$$

where $S_0[\dot{\varphi}]$ contains the bare action and $S_1[\dot{\varphi}]$ contains the contribution of the mass from the fermion propagator.

Turning to the analysis of fluctuations around the mean field, we focus on configurations $B = B_0$ for notational simplicity, we proceed by subjecting the action to a mean field analysis. A variation of the action yields the equation

$$B \mapsto \gamma \text{tr} \hat{G}(x, x; [B]),$$

which is solved by the diagonal ansatz, $B = -i\kappa \tau_3$ describing the ‘spontaneous symmetry breaking’ of the infinitesimal $\delta$ finite impurity self energy $\kappa = \kappa(\epsilon)$. Specifically, at $\epsilon = 0$ (semimetal) one finds $\kappa = (2/\pi)\nu \Lambda (1 - \gamma^*/\gamma)$, while far away from Weyl node (metal) $\kappa = \gamma \pi \nu$, where $\nu = e^2/2\pi^2\hbar^3$ is the clean density of states.

Turning to the analysis of fluctuations around the mean field, we focus on configurations $B = ikQ = ikT\tau_3T^{-1}$, whose action vanishes in the limit of slowly varying fluctuation matrices $T(x) \to \text{const}$. Here, $T \in G/H$ takes values in a Goldstone mode coset space where $G = \text{GL}(2|2)$ is the group of $4 \times 4$ invertible supermatrices and $H = \text{GL}(2|2)$ is the subgroup of matrices $k$ commuting with the mean field, $[k, \tau_3] = 0$. Since $\text{str}(Q^2) = 0$, the action we now need to consider reads

$$S_0[Q] = \text{tr \ln}(\epsilon - i\kappa Q) + i\kappa \text{tr Q}.$$

For later reference, we note that the action has two continuous symmetries: a global symmetry $Q \to T_0QT_0^{-1}$, where $T_0 \in G$ is constant, and a local gauge symmetry $T \to Tk, k = k(x) \in H$.

Effective field theory — Our goal is to expand the action in gradients $T^{-1}\partial_i T \equiv A_i$. One might be tempted to start this program with a similarity transformation, $S_0[Q] \mapsto \text{str \ln}(T^{-1}(\epsilon - i\kappa + i\kappa Q)T) = \text{str \ln}(\epsilon - i\kappa + i\kappa A + i\kappa \tau_3) = S[A]$, which may then be expanded in powers of the ‘non-abelian gauge field’ $A$. However, due to the notorious anomaly, this operation is invalid, the action needs to be regularized first. Following a strategy previously applied to the 2d $d$-wave superconductor, we regularize by subtraction of a term $S[Q] = S_0[Q] - S_\eta[Q]$, where $S_\eta$ differs from $S_0$ by a replacement $\kappa \to \eta \gg 0$ and setting $\epsilon = 0$. In the limit $\eta \to 0$ the $Q$-dependence of $S_\eta[Q]$ drops out so that $S_{0\to 0}[Q] = 0$. On the other hand, for large momenta $\nu|k| \gg q$ and fixed $\eta$, the two action contributions cancel against each other, i.e. $S[Q]$ is UV regularized (in a gauge-invariant way.) The similarity transformation may now safely be applied to both $S_{0\to 0}[Q]$, so that we obtain an effective action $S[Q] = (S_0[A] - S_\eta[A])_{\text{reg}}$, where the subscript ‘reg’ means that only UV finite contributions to the subsequent expansion in $A$ are to be kept.

Figure 1. (Color online) Schematic of two Weyl nodes split in energy and momentum by $2\mu$ and $2b$, resp.
The terms of $\mathcal{O}(A^3)$ are the ‘triangle graphs’ pervasive in the theory of $(2+1)$ or $(3+0)$ dimensional relativistic gauge theories. On general grounds [14] we expect the appearance of a Chern-Simons action at this order. A straightforward if lengthy calculation indeed yields the result

$$S_{\text{CS}}[A] = S'_{\text{CS}}[A] + S''_{\text{CS}}[A],$$

$$S'_{\text{CS}}[A] = \frac{ie^{ijk}}{8\pi} \sum_{s=\pm} s \int d^3x \text{str}(A_i P^a \partial_j A_k P^a),$$

$$S''_{\text{CS}}[A] = \frac{ie^{ijk}}{12\pi} \sum_{s=\pm} s \int d^3x \text{str}(A_i P^a A_j P^a A_k P^a),$$

where $P^\pm$ is a projector on advanced/retarded indices. Apart from the presence of these projector matrices, this has the characteristic structure of a non-abelian CS term. (However, (3) does not define a ‘real’ CS action, inasmuch as $A$ does not describe a field-gauge coupling, but represents the nonlinear $\sigma$-model field itself; the situation is conceptually similar to that considered in Refs. [15, 16].)

The CS action does not afford a representation in terms of Q-fields, which lacks the reflection of complete gauge invariance of this action piece [14]: it is straightforward to verify that under a gauge transformation by $k \equiv b \text{diag}(k_+, k_-)^{\text{ar}} \in H$, and for a fictitious system without boundaries, the CS action transforms as $S_{\text{CS}}[A] \rightarrow S'_{\text{CS}}[A] + S_{\text{top}}[k]$, where $S_{\text{top}}[k] = -\frac{e^{ijk}}{24\pi} \sum_{s=\pm} s \int d^3x \text{str}(k^{-1}_s \partial(k^{-1}_s) \partial k^{\text{ar}})$. The integral yields a quantized value, viz. $24\pi^2 \times n_s$, where $n_s$ is the winding number of a configuration $k^\text{ff} \in SU(2)$ in three dimensional space. (The non-compact bb sector of the supermatrices $k$ is topologically empty.) For ‘large’ gauge transformations with non-vanishing winding numbers, the CS action changes by a factor $ie\pi(n_+ + n_-)$. The origin of this phenomenon was explained in the classic reference [14], where it was shown that these factors get canceled by a gauge anomaly of the regulator action $S_n \rightarrow S_n + i\pi(n_+ + n_-)$, where the appearance of the extra terms is caused by zero-crossings of the regularizing Dirac operator under a large gauge transformation. Overall the action is gauge invariant.

Discussion — The action $S_d + S_{\text{top}}$ of the diffusion modes $A$ appeared for the first time in connection with the multi-layer quantum Hall effect [17], a system conceptually similar to the present one if the 3-direction of Weyl node splitting is interpreted as the stacking direction of a layered system of 2d class A topological insulator layers [9]. The action $S_d$ controls the fluctuations of diffusion modes in terms of the dimensionless coupling constant $g_{xx} \equiv \sigma_{xx}^0 \Lambda^{-1}$. Within the framework of our gradient expansion we find $\sigma_{xx} = (e^2 + 3\kappa^2)/(6\pi\kappa v)$, which simplifies to $\sigma_{xx}^0 = \kappa/2\pi v$ at the Weyl node and asymptotes to the Drude conductivity $\sigma_{xx}^0 = v^2/3\gamma$ at higher energies $\epsilon \gg \kappa$. At the nodes, $\epsilon = 0$, and at bare length scales $\Lambda \sim \ell^{-1}$ characteristic for the ballistic/diffusive crossover, the conductance $g_{xx}$ takes values of $\mathcal{O}(1)$, close to but larger [13] than the critical value $g^*$ marking the 3d Anderson transition.

The bare coefficient $\sigma_{xy}^0$ multiplying the action $S_{\text{top}}$ is the contribution of node 1 to the Hall conductivity of the system at crossover length scales to the diffusive regime. As in the derivation of the field theory of the quantum Hall effect [19] we obtain $\sigma_{xy}$ as a thermodynamic coefficient $\sigma_{xy} = V^{-1} \partial g N$, where $V \equiv L_x L_y^2$ is the volume of the sample, and the derivative probes the sensitivity of the number of states $N = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \text{dIm} \text{tr}(G^+(\epsilon))$ below zero energy to the presence of an external magnetic field in 3-direction. Within the framework of the gradient expansion, $G^+$ is the retarded Weyl node Green function coupled to disorder in the mean field/self consistent Born approximation. Following the strategy of Ref. [9], we evaluate this expression by interpreting the quantized values of the 3-momentum, $m_n = \tau(b + k_3 n)$, $k_3 = 2\pi m L_z^{-1}$ as masses entering effective 2d layered Dirac Hamiltonians governing the planes perpendicular to the 3-direction. Within this interpretation, $\sigma_{xy} = (2\pi/2) \sum_n \sigma_{xy,n}$ is obtained by summing over the contribution of the layers, where $\sigma_{xy,n} = C_n/2\pi$ is given by the disorder averaged Chern number, $C_n = (1/2\pi) \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \arctan[(m_n + \sigma \kappa)/\kappa] + 1/2$, of the $n$th layer. Since only changes of $C_n$ at zero crossings of the effective masses can be unambiguously determined from the linearized theory, we fix the absolute value of the sum by the condition $\sigma_{xy} = 0$ at $b = 0$, which follows from matching to the band structure of the bi-nodal Weyl system. In the limit $L_y b \gg 1$, this gives $\sigma_{xy}^0 = b/2\pi$ for both nodes, irrespective of the energy $\epsilon$ or the disorder strength $\kappa$. Both for soft and hard disorder, the two contributions to the Hall conductivity add, and we obtain $\sigma_{xy} = b/\pi$, a result known as the anomalous Hall effect (AHE).

The CS contribution to the action accounts for the thermodynamic response of the system to imbalances $(b, \mu)$ between the nodes. That this term probes equilibrium properties follows from the presence of the projector matrices $P^a$ which prevent coupling between the retarded and advanced sector of the theory (a necessary ingredient to any type of dynamic response.) A finite equilibrium response is obtained if we couple the system to an external field $a = \langle a_i \rangle$, where $a_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tau_3 x^i$, $i = 1, 2$ represents an external magnetic field $B\tau_3$, and $a_3 = a(x)\sigma^0_3 \otimes \tau_3$ is a source field. The latter is defined in such a way that differentiation of the partition function, $\frac{1}{i\beta} \delta_{\mu(x)} Z[a] = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Im} \text{tr}(G^+(\mu, \mu) \sigma^3) = \langle j_3(x) (\delta(\epsilon - H)) \rangle = j_3_{xx}$, yields the contribution of states at energy $\epsilon$ to the equilibrium value of the 3-current density of node 1. We compute this expression by adding the external field to the internal one, $A \rightarrow A + a$, and substituting this configuration into the CS action. In the simplest approximation $A = 0$ (for the above ‘equilibrium'
choice of source terms fluctuation corrections around the field $A = 0$ vanish by supersymmetry anyway), we then obtain $S_{CS}[a] = -\frac{\mu B}{2\pi} \int dx a(x)$, and hence $j_{3,\perp} = \frac{\mu B}{2\pi} B$. To obtain the full response of the system, we need to add the (opposite) contribution of the second node and integrate over filled energy states up to some Fermi energy $\epsilon_F$. Taking into account that the existence of a bare linearization cutoff $\Lambda$ implies a cutoff $|\epsilon| < |\epsilon_0 \pm \mu|$, $\epsilon_0 \equiv v\Lambda$ for the accessible energy states (cf. Fig. 1), this leads to

$$j_3 = \int_{-\epsilon_0 - \mu}^{\epsilon_0 + \mu} d\epsilon j_{3,\perp} - \int_{-\epsilon_0 - \mu}^{\epsilon_0 + \mu} d\epsilon j_{3,\chi} = \frac{\mu B}{2\pi} \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon_0^3},$$

i.e. an equilibrium current proportional to an external magnetic field, the so-called chiral magnetic effect (CME) \[7,8\] (for a discussion how the nonvanishing of this expression may be understood from the perspective of the Fermi-liquid theory, see Ref. \[20\]).

Renormalization – What happens if short distance fluctuations in the field theory are integrated out to probe the physics at length scales beyond the ballistic/diffusive crossover regime? An answer to this question has been formulated in Ref. \[17\] within the framework of two loop renormalized perturbation theory for the dimensionless coupling constants $g_{\mu\nu} = \sigma_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{-1}$ of the model. The result

$$\frac{dg_{xx}}{d\ln l} = g_{xx} - \frac{1}{3\pi^4} g_{xx}, \quad \frac{dg_{xy}}{d\ln l} = g_{xy}, \quad (4)$$

states that the longitudinal conductance scales according to the predictions of one-parameter scaling theory (unaffected by the Hall conductance) towards Ohmic behavior $g_{xx} \sim l$. The Hall conductance shows linear scaling, $g_{xy} \propto l$, which means that the AHE remains unrenormalized by disorder $\sigma_{xy} = \text{const.}$ even at large length scales (in contrast to the Hall conductivity of a two-dimensional system which is renormalized by instanton fluctuations \[19\]). Finally, the coupling constant of the CS action is fixed by gauge invariance, and fluctuation corrections to $\langle j_3 \rangle_B$ vanish by supersymmetry. This means that within the framework of our theory the CME, too, fully protected against renormalization by disorder.

The disorder insensitivity of the topological response coefficients holds regardless of whether we are probing the semimetallic Weyl nodes $\epsilon, \mu \sim \kappa$, or the metallic physics far away from them $|\epsilon \pm \mu| \gg \kappa$. The essential difference between the two situations lies in the bare and renormalized values of the longitudinal conductance $g_{xx}$: in the former/latter case, $g_{xx}$ is initially small/large to begin with. However, in either case, $g_{xx}$ increases, and asymptotes to Ohmic behavior at large length scales. While the system then behaves similar to a three dimensional metal, the preserved non-vanishing of its two transverse transport coefficients betrays the underlying presence of two Dirac nodes.

Summarizing, we have microscopically derived a supersymmetric field theory description of disordered Weyl semimetals and metals at length scales exceeding the mean free path. The profile of the theory is essentially determined by an interplay of symmetry conditions and the chiral anomaly. This mechanism stabilizes metallic behavior at large length scales, along with various disorder-insensitive response coefficients of topological origin.
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